INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMAN RIGHTS LAW REVIEW

Volume 4 | Issue 1 Art. 4

2025

Beyond Boardrooms: The Legal Nexus of Corporate Governance and Breach of Trust

Bhavitha Raj. G and Dr. Shobha Yadav

Recommended Citation

Bhavitha Raj. G and Dr. Shobha Yadav, *Beyond Boardrooms: The Legal Nexus of Corporate Governance and Breach of Trust*, 4 IJHRLR 47-57 (2025). Available at www.humanrightlawreview.in/archives/.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Journal of Human Rights Law Review by an authorized Lex Assisto Media and Publications administrator. For more information, please contact info@humanrightlawreview.in.

Beyond Boardrooms: The Legal Nexus of Corporate Governance and Breach of Trust

Bhavitha Raj. G and Dr. Shobha Yadav

Law Student, 1st Year, BA.LL.B. (Hons.), Amity Law School, Amity University, Bengaluru.
Assistant Professor, Amity Law School, Amity University, Bengaluru.

Manuscript Received	Manuscript Accepted	Manuscript Published
24 Dec. 2024	31 Dec. 2024	03 Jan. 2025

ABSTRACT

This research paper provides a legal perspective which covers multiple jurisdictions that delves into the association of Corporate Governance and Breach of Trust. Transparency, accountability, and trust among stakeholders are ensured by corporate governance which serves the modern businesses as a foundation. This paper analyses the duties of the board members of a corporate company, and the laws that are mandated by the primary sources of this research i.e., Companies Act, 2013, SEBI regulations for the companies, OECD principles of corporate governance being one of the international frameworks. This study has a multidisciplinary approach which consists of law, ethics and economics, it also suggests fostering sustainable business practices by analyzing the critical role of corporate governance in preventing breach of trust.

KEYWORDS

Corporate Governance, Trust, SEBI, Companies Act, Boardrooms.

INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance is fundamental to modern business practices, providing the structure that ensures transparency, trust, and accountability within a company. As businesses increasingly span multiple jurisdictions and operate within complex regulatory environments, effective corporate governance becomes paramount. The role of governance is not only to oversee financial reporting and strategic decisions but also to foster ethical behaviour and compliance across the organization. Effective governance frameworks contribute to long-term

sustainability by balancing the interests of shareholders, employees, and external stakeholders. Research highlights that companies with robust governance structures generally perform better financially and have higher levels of market trust.

The governance model in large corporations operates through a dual agency relationship. The first is the relationship between shareholders and management, where management is entrusted with capital to generate returns for the shareholders. However, an equally important relationship exists between management and employees, which is often sidelined in corporate governance discussions. This relationship is crucial for achieving the firm's objectives as it ensures that employees are motivated, fairly treated, and aligned with the company's strategic goals. Ignoring this dynamic can lead to organizational inefficiency and employee disengagement. By addressing both relationships, companies can optimize performance and create a more cohesive, transparent work culture.[1]

Corporate governance structures are tested by the increasingly global and diverse challenges companies face, particularly with multinational operations and evolving regulations. In response to corporate failures, frameworks like the Sarbanes-Oxley Act were introduced to regulate corporate behavior more tightly and ensure transparency.[2] This law was enacted to prevent fraud and protect investors after high-profile scandals like Enron. Since its introduction, there has been a marked reduction in corporate fraud cases, demonstrating how effective governance frameworks can mitigate risks and enhance trust. Regulatory measures like Sarbanes-Oxley have significantly contributed to corporate transparency, underlining the need for robust governance systems to prevent malfeasance and promote long-term success.[3]

Corporate governance plays a pivotal role in preventing breaches of trust, especially in the context of unethical behavior or misconduct. One of the most striking examples of a breach of trust is the Volkswagen emissions scandal, where weak governance and lack of oversight led to fraudulent actions that caused billions of dollars in penalties. Such failures underline the importance of strong governance mechanisms that can detect and

---

[1] Rene Reich-Graefe, *Deconstructing Corporate Governance: The Mechanics of Trusting*, 38 Del. J. Corp. L. 103 (2013).
[2] Norman Mugarura, *The Juxtaposition of Success and Failure of Corporate Governance Procedures: The Interplay between Rules and Practice*, 23 Journal of Financial Crime 379 (2016).
[3] Klaus J. Hopt, *Corporate Governance of Banks and Financial Institutions: Economic Theory, Supervisory Practice, Evidence and Policy*, 22 Eur Bus Org Law Rev 13 (2021).

prevent misconduct.[4] The studies show that companies with robust governance structures, which prioritize transparency and ethical decision-making, are better positioned to avoid such scandals. When governance mechanisms are properly implemented, organizations can maintain trust and avoid the devastating consequences of unethical conduct.[5]

Frameworks within corporate governance also serve as a safeguard against malfeasance, ensuring that companies are run in an ethical and compliant manner. Independent directors, audit committees, and compliance officers play a critical role in maintaining checks and balances that uphold corporate integrity. The establishment of audit committees, which are tasked with overseeing financial reporting and internal controls, has been linked to a reduction in fraudulent financial practices. Research indicates that companies that emphasize the importance of audit committees and independent oversight are far less likely to engage in financial manipulation.[6] By strengthening these mechanisms, companies can foster an environment that prevents malfeasance and ensures sustainable practices.

The legal compliance is another critical dimension of corporate governance. Regulatory frameworks across different jurisdictions demand that companies adhere to legal standards, and failure to do so can lead to serious legal consequences, including financial penalties and damage to reputation. For example, the UK's Corporate Governance Code requires listed companies to adhere to a set of standards that ensure transparency and accountability. Non-compliance can result in shareholder dissatisfaction, regulatory fines, and even legal actions.[7] In response to corporate scandals, many countries have tightened their governance regulations, reinforcing the role of legal frameworks in promoting ethical business practices and protecting stakeholders. The growing focus on legal compliance within governance frameworks reflects the increasing recognition of its importance in the modern business world.

Corporate governance also plays an essential role in shaping ethical behavior within companies. Ethical governance

---

[4] Muhammed Zakir Hossain, Latul Hasan & Mohammad Hasibul Hasan, *Corporate Governance as a Global Phenomenon: Evolution, Theoretical Foundations, and Practical Implications*, 13 Journal of Financial Risk Management 342 (2024).

[5] Faith Stevelman & Sarah C. Haan, *Boards in Information Governance*, 23 U. Pa. J. Bus. L. 179 (2020).

[6] Margaret M. Blair & Lynn A. Stout, *A Team Production Theory of Corporate Law, in* Corporate Governance (2009).

[7] Adam Winkler, *Corporate Law or the Law of Business: Stakeholders and Corporate Governance at the End of History*, 67 Law & Contemp. Probs. 109 (2004).

ensures that decisions are made not only for the financial benefit of the company but also in the best interests of all stakeholders. This includes promoting social responsibility, sustainability, and fair labor practices. Ethical governance is becoming increasingly important in the eyes of consumers and investors, who demand greater transparency and accountability in how businesses operate.[8] Research indicates that companies with strong ethical governance are better positioned to thrive, particularly during times of crisis, as they are able to maintain stakeholder trust and continue growing sustainably.

From an economic perspective, sound corporate governance is integral to fostering economic stability and growth. Effective governance ensures the efficient allocation of resources and drives investor confidence. Research has demonstrated that countries with strong corporate governance frameworks attract more foreign direct investment, which in turn stimulates economic growth. In markets where governance structures are weak, investors are more reluctant to engage, and companies face greater challenges in raising capital. As such, strong corporate governance not only supports individual companies but also contributes to the broader economic health of a country or region.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

- ***Corporate Misconduct and Loss of Trust*[9]** Research on corporate misconduct highlights the various types of unethical behaviors that diminish trust and the challenges in rebuilding it.
- ***Repairing the Breach of Trust in Corporate Governance*[10] -** John Child and Suzana B. Rodrigues discuss the dual agency relationship in corporate governance and emphasize policies to repair employee trust.

RESEARCH GAP

There is limited comparative research on corporate governance frameworks across jurisdictions, especially in emerging economies. Most studies focus on developed economies like the US, UK, and EU. For example, The Companies Act, 2013 mandates CSR spending, unlike most Western jurisdictions. This unique provision's impact on trust-building has not been

---

[8] Sean J. Griffith, *Corporate Governance in an Era of Compliance*, 57 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 2075 (2015).

[9] Gary Davis & Isabel Olmedo-Cifuentes | European Journal of Marketing, Vol 50 Iss 7/8 pp 1426 - 1447

[10] John Child, Suzuna B. Rodrigues | onlinewiley.library.com.

thoroughly analyzed.

While theoretical frameworks on the role of corporate governance in trust restoration exist, empirical data quantifying this relationship is scarce. It matters because, Quantitative studies can provide actionable insights for policymakers and corporations. Empirical evidence is needed to validate theoretical claims and measure the effectiveness of governance interventions. For example, post-scandal trust restoration strategies, such as board restructuring or enhanced disclosures, have rarely been analyzed quantitatively to determine their success.

HYPOTHESIS

There will be a significant reduction in the breach of trust incidents within the corporation if comprehensive corporate governance frameworks are implemented, and when both internal policies and external oversight are encompassed in the firm.

RESEARCH PROBLEM

How do different corporate governance mechanisms influence the occurrence of breaches of trust among stakeholders in multinational corporations operating across various jurisdictions?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research paper will be carried out in a doctrinal approach with its primary sources being The Companies Act, 2013, SEBI Regulations, OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. And the Secondary sources for this paper being, Scholarly articles and research papers on Corporate Governance and Trust, and Case studies illustrating breach of trust in corporate settings.

DISCUSSION

Corporate Governance Failures: Case Studies

1. **Satyam Scandal (India):** the Satyam Computer Services scandal (2009) exposed massive financial fraud where the company's chairman, Ramalinga Raju, manipulated accounts to inflate profits by approximately ₹7,000 crores. The failure was rooted in weak oversight by the board of directors and auditors, as well as a lack of transparency in financial disclosures. Shareholders lost billions, and employee trust eroded, leading to widespread reputational damage.
   After this scandal, the legislative rules in India took a reform, India introduced stricter regulations under the

Companies Act, 2013, mandating independent directors and improved auditing standards. The establishment of the Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO) aimed to address similar governance lapses was also implemented to prevent such future scandals.

2. **Enron Collapse (USA):** Enron's bankruptcy (2001) was precipitated by widespread accounting fraud, where the company used off-balance-sheet special purpose entities (SPEs) to hide debt and inflate profitability. There was a huge lapse in the governance, the executives exploited the lack of accountability within the board and weak oversight from auditors. There were ethical and cultural lapses in the governance, which became the cornerstone of unchecked greed which further exacerbated the problem.
   Due to this bankruptcy thousands of employees lost their jobs and savings for retirement. And the public trust in corporate America was deeply shaken in 2001.

3. **Volkswagen Emission Scandals (Germany):** Volkswagen, a renowned car brand, in 2015 admitted to installing "defeat devices" in diesel engines to cheat emissions tests, impacting 11 million vehicles globally. The lapse in the governance for this particular case was, the governance failed to align corporate culture with its practices. The top executives of the company prioritsed profits over compliance which led to ethical breaches.
   Due to this ethical breach and improper alignment in corporate governance, the company faced fines exceed $30 billion along with reputational damages. The German public trust in corporate governance of the company was questioned.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: SEBI REGULATIONS VS UK CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CODE

SEBI Regulations	UK Corporate Governance Code
SEBI follows a prescriptive and rule-based approach. Compliance with corporate governance regulations, such as mandatory board composition, disclosures, and ESG integration, is strictly enforced.	The UK Code adopts a "comply or explain" framework. It provides companies with the flexibility to deviate from the Code's recommendations, provided they explain the reasons and justify how their alternative practices achieve the same objectives.

SEBI explicitly mandates ESG disclosures for the top 1,000 listed companies. The Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR) provides a standardized format for ESG reporting.	UK Code promotes sustainability and stakeholder concerns, it does not prescribe mandatory ESG reporting. Instead, it encourages boards to consider long-term value creation and sustainability.
Listed entities in India must make quarterly disclosures on financial performance, compliance, and related-party transactions. There are stringent penalties for non-compliance.	The UK Code emphasizes transparency but focuses more on annual reporting and narrative disclosures. It allows companies to disclose governance practices in a broader, more interpretive manner.

SEBI's prescriptive regulations ensure uniformity across companies. This approach reduces ambiguity, enforces strict compliance, and aligns corporate practices with national priorities like ESG goals. However, the rigidity may stifle innovation and impose a one-size-fits-all framework, which could be impractical for diverse industries[11].

The UK's "comply or explain" approach allows companies the freedom to develop governance practices suited to their unique challenges and opportunities. This fosters creativity and encourages boards to think beyond mere compliance. However, the flexibility could lead to inconsistent practices and weaker accountability in some cases.[12]

Both SEBI Regulations and the UK Corporate Governance Code represent robust governance frameworks tailored to their respective jurisdictions. SEBI's prescriptive model is ideal for ensuring baseline compliance and transparency in emerging markets, while the UK's principles-based approach provides the flexibility needed for mature markets to innovate in governance.[13] Combining the strengths of both could lead to a more balanced governance framework that ensures compliance while

[11] Priyanka Kaushik Sharma, *Codes and Standards of Corporate Governance*, *in* Corporate Governance Practices in India: A Synthesis of Theories, Practices, and Cases 28 (Priyanka Kaushik Sharma ed., 2015), https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137519368_3 (last visited Jan 3, 2025).
[12] With 4 Steps, Sustainability Disclosures Can Help Companies Earn Investor Trust | The Wall Street Journal.
[13] Lalita S. Som, *Corporate Governance Codes in India*, 41 Economic and Political Weekly 4153 (2006).

encouraging adaptability.[14]

FINDINGS

Patterns of Misconduct

- ***Governance Failures and Accountability***

Governance failures frequently arise from lapses in accountability, ethical oversight, and compliance. Such lapses can lead to significant financial and reputational damage. For instance, the collapse of companies like Enron and Satyam Computers highlighted how inadequate oversight and ethical misconduct can precipitate corporate disasters.

- ***Cultural and Organizational Influences***

The effectiveness of governance mechanisms is profoundly influenced by cultural and organizational factors. A corporate culture that prioritizes ethical behavior and transparency tends to support stronger governance practices. Conversely, cultures that overlook ethical considerations may foster environments where misconduct can thrive.

EFFICACY OF GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS

Mandatory disclosure and compliance mechanisms have proven effective in fostering transparency and preventing breaches of trust within corporate structures. The adoption of mandatory ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) disclosures has enhanced corporate accountability and transparency globally. Research highlights that such requirements are particularly impactful for firms with significant ESG-related concerns and where investors demand comprehensive information on these aspects.[15] Additionally, flexibility in governance practices, as demonstrated by the UK's "comply or explain" approach, has enabled companies to tailor their governance frameworks to their specific operational contexts. This model encourages innovation while maintaining accountability. However, its efficacy hinges on the honesty of the explanations provided and active stakeholder vigilance to ensure corporate responsibility.[16]

---

[14] Shigufta Hena Uzma, *Corporate Governance Practices: Global Convergence and Indian Perspective*, 10 Qualitative Research in Financial Markets 285 (2018).

[15] Frank Schlosser et al., *Achieving Social Alignment between Business and IT – an Empirical Evaluation of the Efficacy of IT Governance Mechanisms*, 30 Journal of Information Technology 119 (2015).

[16] James R. Brown, Chekitan S. Dev & Dong-Jin Lee, *Managing Marketing Channel Opportunism: The Efficacy of Alternative Governance Mechanisms*,

- ***Mandatory Disclosure and Compliance***

Mandatory disclosure and compliance measures have been effective in preventing breaches of trust. For example, the implementation of mandatory ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) disclosures has been associated with improved corporate transparency and accountability.[17] A study on the effects of mandatory ESG disclosure worldwide suggests that such requirements are particularly effective among firms where ESG-related concerns and information demands by investors are significant.[18]

- ***Flexibility in Governance Practices***

Flexibility in governance practices, as exemplified by the UK's "comply or explain" approach, can yield positive outcomes when balanced with accountability. This approach allows companies to adapt governance practices to their specific contexts, fostering innovation while maintaining accountability.[19] However, the success of this model depends on the integrity of the explanations provided and the vigilance of stakeholders in holding companies accountable.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Strengthen corporate governance mechanisms by mandating regular training programs for board members on ethical practices, accountability, and compliance with frameworks such as the Companies Act, 2013, and OECD principles.
- Enhance transparency by enforcing mandatory quarterly ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) disclosures, similar to SEBI's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR), to ensure consistent reporting across industries.
- Adopt a hybrid governance approach that integrates SEBI's prescriptive model with the UK's "comply or explain" framework, promoting accountability while fostering innovation and flexibility.

64 Journal of Marketing 51 (2000).
[17] Philipp Krueger et al., *The Effects of Mandatory ESG Disclosure Around the World*, 62 J of Accounting Research 1795 (2024).
[18] The Effects of Mandatory ESG Disclosure around the World | Zacharias Sautner (Frankfurt School of Finance & Management), Harward Law School Forum on Corporate Governance.
[19] UK Corporate Governance Code, FRC (Financial Reporting Council), https://www.frc.org.uk/library/standards-codes-policy/corporate-governance/uk-corporate-governance-code/ (last visited Jan 2, 2025).

- Establish a centralized oversight body to monitor corporate governance practices, investigate breaches, and ensure compliance with regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions.[20]
- Promote ethical corporate cultures by integrating ethics audits and regular organizational evaluations into governance frameworks to address misconduct proactively.
- Encourage whistleblower policies and robust grievance mechanisms to empower stakeholders to report governance lapses without fear of retaliation. Focus on bridging the digital divide by adopting AI and blockchain technologies in governance frameworks to enhance transparency, reduce errors, and improve data security in compliance reporting.

CONCLUSION

Corporate governance serves as the cornerstone of trust in modern business environments, ensuring transparency, accountability, and ethical practices across all levels of corporate operations. This research paper has underscored the critical role of robust governance frameworks in preventing breaches of trust, analyzing real-world corporate scandals, comparative governance mechanisms, and emerging gaps in regulatory frameworks. In an era where trust is a currency as valuable as financial capital, corporate governance must evolve beyond compliance to become a strategic tool for sustainable business growth. The lessons drawn from this research underscore the urgent need to bridge governance gaps, leverage technology, and foster a culture of accountability and ethics. Only by doing so can corporations rebuild and maintain the trust that forms the foundation of long-term success.

[20] Association of Certified Fraud Examiners, https://www.acfe.com/ (last visited Jan 2, 2025).